## **Academic Program Assessment Rubric**

Part 1: Identifying expected outcomes						
Meets Standard	Approaches Standard	Needs Attention				
Mission: Articulate the overarching purpose of the degree program and who it serves in a clear and concise statement						
Mission <b>mostly</b> provides a clear, comprehensive, and concise description of the program to internal and external stakeholders;  Mission is <b>aligned</b> with college or university mission	Mission minimally provides a clear, comprehensive, or concise description of the program;  Mission is not written at the program level;  Mission is not clearly aligned with college or university mission	Little or no attempt is made to provide a clear mission; Mission is not stated				
Most SLOs use concrete action verbs (e.g. Bloom's Taxonomy) to indicate the specific behavior that will be performed; Most SLOs reflect an appropriate level of learning for the program; Most SLOs contain a description of the knowledge, skills, and/or dispositions that students will demonstrate in a disciplinary context	Some SLOs use concrete action verbs (e.g. Bloom's Taxonomy); some vague or unclear language (e.g. "know", "understand") are used to describe student learning, and thus difficult to measure;  Some SLOs contain action verbs that reflect an inadequate level of learning for the program;  Some SLOs contain a general description of the content knowledge, skills, and/or dispositions that students will demonstrate;	SLOs do not use concrete action verbs (e.g. Bloom's Taxonomy);  Less than 3 or more than 15  SLOs are identified and focused on learning				
	Meets Standard  Repurpose of the degree program and who ite Mission mostly provides a clear, comprehensive, and concise description of the program to internal and external stakeholders; Mission is aligned with college or university mission  Ds): List the knowledge, skills, and abilities Most SLOs use concrete action verbs (e.g. Bloom's Taxonomy) to indicate the specific behavior that will be performed; Most SLOs reflect an appropriate level of learning for the program; Most SLOs contain a description of the knowledge, skills, and/or dispositions that students will demonstrate in a	Meets Standard  Repurpose of the degree program and who it serves in a clear and concise statement  Mission mostly provides a clear, comprehensive, and concise description of the program to internal and external stakeholders;  Mission is aligned with college or university mission  District the knowledge, skills, and abilities that students should be able to demonstrate at the Most SLOs use concrete action verbs (e.g. Bloom's Taxonomy) to indicate the specific behavior that will be performed; Most SLOs reflect an appropriate level of learning for the program;  Most SLOs contain a description of the knowledge, skills, and/or dispositions that students will demonstrate in a disciplinary context  Mission is not written at the program level; Mission is not clearly aligned with college or university mission  Some SLOs use concrete action verbs (e.g. Bloom's Taxonomy); some vague or unclear language (e.g. "know", "understand") are used to describe student learning, and thus difficult to measure;  Some SLOs contain action verbs that reflect an inadequate level of learning for the program;  Some SLOs contain a general description of the content knowledge, skills, and/or				

**Academic Program Assessment Rubric** 

Part 2: Assessing the extent to which the program achieves those outcomes						
Exemplary	Meets Standard	Approaches Standard	Needs Attention			
Measures: Provide meaningful and actionable information about where and how well students are demonstrating the outcome						
Measures are above and beyond criteria in meets standard (e.g. discusses validity and reliability; describes and includes multiple types of measures)	Most measures directly assess intended outcome (validity); measures are consistent across administrations (reliable); results will yield useful and meaningful information for improvement;  Includes multiple types of measures; includes 1 direct measure for each outcome;  Sufficient details are provided about where and how students demonstrate learning; sufficient details are provided about the measures to determine relevancy and rigor	Some measures are not directly or appropriately assessing intended outcome (validity); measures may not be consistent across administrations (reliable); may not yield useful and meaningful information for improvement;  Does not include multiple types of measures;  Insufficient details are provided about where and how students demonstrate learning; insufficient details are provided about the measures to determine relevancy and rigor	Measures are not assessing intended outcome or do not yield meaningful information;  No details are provided about where and how students demonstrate learning; no details are provided about the measures to determine relevancy and rigor;  Measures are not stated			
Targets: State the expected ac Targets are above and	hievement level of students in the program  Most target levels and performance standards	Some target levels and performance	Target levels and performance			
beyond criteria in meets standard	for the outcome are <b>stated and appropriate</b>	standards are unclear	standards are <b>not stated or not appropriate</b>			
Results: Articulate how well s	Results: Articulate how well students are performing against the target with direct, clear, and concise information					
Results are above and beyond criteria in meets standard (e.g. includes student numbers and percentages)	Results are <b>related</b> to the specific measures of outcome; results <b>provide evidence</b> of target achievement	Results are <b>not clearly related</b> to the intended measure or outcome; results do <b>not provide evidence</b> of target achievement	Results do not align with measure or outcome; little or no attempt is made to provide results			

**Academic Program Assessment Rubric** 

Part 3: Providing evidence of seeking improvement based on analysis of results						
Exemplary	Meets Standard	Approaches Standard	Needs Attention			
Interpretation of Results: Evaluate the meaningfulness of the results based on a reflection of student learning and an analysis of assessment results						
Interpretation of results are above and beyond criteria in meets standard (e.g. considers the specific components that define the outcome; identifies possible areas of improvement, thus initiating future actions)	Mostly evaluates results, addresses patterns, and/or describes specific strengths and/or weaknesses related to student learning and the interpretation could support programmatic decisions; as appropriate, compares data from differing delivery methods; interpretation includes information about how well students are learning and why (e.g. how courses, experiences, and/or the assessment process might have affected the results);  Appropriate collaboration and sharing of assessment	Minimally describes results, patterns, or student learning and the interpretation is insufficient to support programmatic decisions; as appropriate, does not compare differing delivery methods;  Assessment results are minimally shared and it is unclear how they support program decisions;  Provides an interpretation for at least one	Little or no attempt is made to interpret the results; Little or no attempt is made to share assessment results to support program decisions			
	results to support program decisions is indicated; Provides an interpretation for at least two results	result				
	ns: Describe completed action plans or modifications from p					
Improvements or modifications are above and beyond criteria in meets standard (e.g. all previous modifications are listed as action plans in previous years; direct and repeated measures are used to describe impact)	Mostly describes the impact on student learning from previous improvements or modifications to course, program, teaching methods, curriculum, etc.;  Justification for modification is based on the analysis of assessment results or programmatic information	Minimally details the impact on student learning from previous improvements or modifications to course, program, teaching methods, curriculum, etc. are insufficient; Justification for modification is unclear; Actions proposed in the previous years were not updated or implemented, or no reasonable justification is given	Little or no attempt is made to describe the impact on student learning from previous improvements or modifications to course, program, teaching methods, curriculum, etc.;			
			No actions were proposed in the previous years			
	Action Plans: Describes improvements to the program and student learning; action plans are based on analysis of assessment results					
Action plans are above and beyond criteria in meets standard (e.g.	Action plans are <b>developed</b> directly from results and are aligned with the outcome;	Action plans are <b>not developed</b> directly from results or aligned with the outcome;	Action plans are missing for partially met or not met targets			
responsibilities are assigned; indicates timeframe; describes the connection between outcomes, data, and action; contains a process for evaluating impact)	Actions are <b>intended to modify</b> course, program, teaching methods, curriculum, etc. to improve student learning;  As necessary, actions are <b>intended to improve</b> assessment strategies	Plans do not include actions intended to modify the course, program, teaching methods, or curriculum to improve student learning (e.g. plans to discuss)  Action plans pertain only to changes in assessment strategies or measures	met of not met targets			